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#### Abstract

With the use of a quantity normally scaled in multifractals, a generalized form is postulated for entropy, namely $S_{q} \equiv k\left[1-\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}^{q}\right] /(q-1)$, where $q \in \mathbb{R}$ characterizes the generalization and $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ are the probabilities associated with $W$ (microscopic) configurations ( $W \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The main properties associated with this entropy are established, particularly those corresponding to the microcanonical and canonical ensembles. The Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics is recovered as the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit.
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Multifractal concepts and structures are quickly acquiring importance in many active areas of research (e.g., nonlinear dynamical systems, growth models, commensurate/incommensurate structures). This is due to their utility as well as to their elegance. Within this framework, the quantity that is normally scaled is $p_{i}^{q}$, where $p_{i}$ is the probability associated with an event and $q$ is any real number. ${ }^{(1)}$ I shall use this quantity to generalize the standard expression of the entropy $S$ in information theory, namely $S=-k \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i} \ln p_{i}$, where $W \in \mathbb{N}$ is the total number of possible (microscopic) configurations and $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ is the associated probabilities. I postulate for the entropy

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q} \equiv k \frac{1-\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}^{q}}{q-1} \quad(q \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $k$ is a conventional positive constant and $\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}=1$. It is immediately verified that
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1} \equiv \lim _{q \rightarrow 1} S_{q} & =k \lim _{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1-\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i} \exp \left[(q-1) \ln p_{i}\right]}{q-1} \\
& =-k \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i} \ln p_{i}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where I have used the replica-trick type of expansion. Figure 1 illustrates definition (1). One can rewrite $S_{q}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=\frac{k}{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}\left(1-p_{i}^{q-1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which makes evident that $S_{q} \geqslant 0$ in all cases. It vanishes for $W=1, \forall q$, as well as for $W>1, q>0$, and only one event with probability one (all the others having vanishing probabilities).

Microcanonical Ensemble. We want to extremize $S_{q}$ with the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}=1$. By introducing a Lagrange parameter, it is straightforward to obtain that $S_{q}$ is extremized, for all values of $q$, in the case of equiprobability, i.c., $p_{i}=1 / W$, $\forall i$, and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=k \frac{W^{1-q}-1}{1-q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1. Plot of $S_{q}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)$ for $W=2$ and typical values of $q$ (numbers on curves). Notice the monotonic influence of $q$, a fact that reappears in a variety of properties.

It is immediately verified that

$$
S_{1}=k \ln W
$$

thus recovering the celebrated Boltzmann expression. Figure 2 illustrates Eq. (3). The $S_{q}$ given by Eq. (3) diverges if $q \leqslant 1$ and saturates [at $\left.S_{q}=k /(q-1)\right]$ if $q>1$, in the $W \rightarrow \infty$ limit. It is straightforward to prove that the extremum indicated in Eq. (3) is a maximum (minimum) for $q>0$ $(q<0)$; for $q=0, S_{q}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)=k(W-1)$ for all $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$. Finally, Eq. (3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{q}}{k}=\frac{e^{(1-q) S_{1} / k}-1}{1-q} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Concavity. Let us extend here a property already mentioned, namely that $q>0(q<0)$ implies that the extremum of $S_{q}$ is a maximum (minimum). Let $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ be two sets of probabilities corresponding to a unique set of $W$ possibilities, and $\lambda$ such that $0<\lambda<1$. Define an intermediate probability law as follows:

$$
p_{i}^{\prime \prime} \equiv \lambda p_{i}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{\prime}
$$



Fig. 2. Value of the entropy at its extremum for typical values of $q$ (numbers on curves). The dashed line indicates the $W \rightarrow \infty$ asymptote of $S_{2} / k$.
and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{q} \equiv S_{q}\left(\left\{p_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right)-\left[\lambda S_{q}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)+(1-\lambda) S_{q}\left(\left\{p_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to prove that $\Delta_{q} \geqslant 0$ if $q>0, \Delta_{q} \leqslant 0$ if $q<0$, and $\Delta_{q}=0$ if $q=0$. The equalities hold for $q \neq 0$ for $p_{i}=p_{i}^{\prime}, \forall i$.

Additivity. Let us assume two independent systems $A$ and $B$ with ensembles of configurational possibilities $\Omega^{A} \equiv\left\{1,2, \ldots, i, \ldots, W_{A}\right\}$ and $\Omega^{B} \equiv$ $\left\{1,2, \ldots, j, \ldots, W_{B}\right\}$, respectively, the corresponding probabilities being $\left\{p_{i}^{A}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{j}^{B}\right\}$. Now consider $A \cup B$, the ensemble of possibilities being $\Omega^{A \cup B} \equiv$ $\left\{(1,1),(1,2), \ldots,(i, j), \ldots,\left(W_{A}, W_{B}\right)\right\}$; let $p_{i j}^{A \cup B}$ denote the corresponding probabilities. The independence of the systems means that $p_{i j}^{A \cup B}=p_{i}^{A} p_{j}^{B}$, $\forall(i, j)$, hence

$$
\sum_{i, j}^{W_{A} W_{B}^{B}}\left(p_{i j}^{A \cup B}\right)^{q}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{W_{A}}\left(p_{i}^{A}\right)^{q}\right]\left[\sum_{j=1}^{W_{B}}\left(p_{j}^{B}\right)^{q}\right]
$$

Hence [using Eq. (1)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}_{q}^{A \cup B}=\bar{S}_{q}^{A}+\bar{S}_{q}^{B} \quad \text { (additivity) } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}_{q} \equiv k \frac{\ln \left[1+(1-q) S_{q} / k\right]}{1-q} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit, Eq. (7) becomes $S_{1}^{A} \cup^{B}=S_{1}^{A}+S_{1}^{B}$, thus recovering the standard additivity of the entropies of independent systems. For arbitrary $q, \bar{S}_{q}$ reproduces the Renyi entropy. ${ }^{(2)}$

To study the case of correlated systems [i.e., $p_{i j}^{A \cup B}$ is not equal to $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{W_{A}} p_{i j}^{A \cup B}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{W_{B}} p_{i j}^{A} \cup^{B}\right)$ for all $\left.(i, j)\right]$, it is useful to define

$$
\Gamma_{q}\left(\left\{p_{i j}^{A \cup B}\right\}\right) \equiv \bar{S}_{q}^{A \cup B}\left(\left\{p_{i j}^{A \cup B}\right\}\right)-\bar{S}_{q}^{A}\left(\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{W_{B}} p_{i j}^{A \cup B}\right\}\right)-\bar{S}_{q}^{B}\left(\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{W_{A}} p_{i j}^{A \cup B}\right\}\right)
$$

It is clear from Eq. (7) that independence (no correlation) implies $\Gamma_{q}=0$, $\forall q$. For arbitrary and fixed $\left\{p_{i j}^{A} \cup^{B}\right\}$ implying correlation, it is easy to prove that $\Gamma_{1}<0$ (subadditivity of the standard entropies of correlated systems) and $\Gamma_{0}=0$. For arbitrary values of $q, \Gamma_{q}$ presents a great sensitivity to $\left\{p_{i j}^{A} \cup B\right\}$, it might be positive or negative for $q \gg 1$ as well as for $q \ll-1$, and typically exhibits more than one extremum. Extensive and systematic computer verification indicates that, generally speaking, $\Gamma_{q}$ varies smoothly with $q$, but presents no particular regularities besides $\Gamma_{0}=0$ and $\Gamma_{1} \leqslant 0$.

When $\left\{p_{i j}^{A \cup B}\right\}$ gradually approach vanishing correlation, $\Gamma_{q}$ gradually flattens until eventually achieving $\Gamma_{q}=0, \forall q$.

Canonical Ensemble. We want to extremize $S_{q}$ with the conditions $\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i} \varepsilon_{i}=U_{q} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}$ and $U_{q}$ are known real numbers (the same value $\varepsilon_{i}$ might be associated with more than one possible configuration); they will be referred to as generalized spectrum and generalized internal energy. I introduce the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ Lagrange parameters and define the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{q} \equiv \frac{S_{q}}{k}+\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{W} p_{i}-\alpha \beta(q-1) \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is written this way for future convenience. Imposing $\partial \phi_{q} / \partial p_{i}=0, \forall i$, one obtains $p_{i} \propto\left[1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{i}\right]^{1 /(q-1)}$; hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\frac{\left[1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{i}\right]^{1 /(q-1)}}{Z_{q}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{q} \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{W}\left[1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{l}\right]^{1 /(q-1)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is immediately verified that, in the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit, one recovers

$$
p_{i}=e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{i}} / Z_{1}
$$

with

$$
Z_{1} \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{W} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{l}}
$$

It is straightforward to see that an alternative manner for obtaining the power-law distribution expressed in Eq. (11) is to extremize $S_{q}$ (or equivalently $\bar{S}_{q}$ ) with the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_{i}^{q} \varepsilon_{i}=U_{q}$ [instead of Eq. (9)].

If $A$ and $B$ are two independent systems with probabilities (spectrum) $\left\{p_{i}^{A}\right\}\left(\left\{\varepsilon_{i}^{A}\right\}\right)$ and $\left\{p_{j}^{B}\right\}\left(\left\{\varepsilon_{j}^{B}\right\}\right)$, respectively, the probabilities corresponding to $A \cup B$ satisfy $p_{i j}^{A \cup B}=p_{i}^{A} p_{j}^{B}, \forall(i, j)$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{i j}^{A \cup B}=\left[1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{i}^{A}\right]\left[1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{j}^{B}\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varepsilon}_{i j}^{A} \cup B=\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}^{A}+\bar{\varepsilon}_{j}^{B} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varepsilon} \equiv \frac{\ln [1+\beta(1-q) \varepsilon]}{\beta(1-q)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit (and/or $\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit), Eq. (14) becomes $\varepsilon_{i j}^{A} \cup B=\varepsilon_{i}^{A}+\varepsilon_{j}^{B}$, thus recovering the standard energy additivity. The property (14), together with the factorization of probabilities, placed in Eq. (9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{q}^{A \cup B}=\bar{U}_{q}^{A}+\bar{U}_{q}^{B} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{U}_{q} \equiv \frac{\ln \left[1+\beta(1-q) U_{q}\right]}{\beta(1-q)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit (and/or $\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit), Eq. (16) becomes $U_{1}^{A \cup B}=U_{1}^{A}+U_{1}^{B}$, thus recovering the standard additivity of the internal energies of independent systems.

I now discuss the main characteristics of the distribution law (11). First, notice that this distribution is invariant under the transformation

$$
\left[1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{l}\right] \rightarrow\left[1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{l}\right]\left[1-\beta(q-1) \varepsilon_{0}\right]
$$

for all $l, \varepsilon_{0}$ being an arbitrary fixed real number. In other words, the distribution (11) is invariant under $\bar{\varepsilon}_{l} \rightarrow \bar{\varepsilon}_{l}+\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}$ [this is in fact a trivial consequence of the fact that the distribution can be formally rewritten as $\left.p_{i} \propto \exp \left(-\beta \bar{\varepsilon}_{i}\right)\right]$. For $\beta(q-1) \rightarrow 0$, we recover the well-known invariance of the Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics under uniform translation of the energy spectrum. Figure 3 illustrates distribution (11). Notice that, for $q>1, p_{i}=0$ for all levels such that $\varepsilon_{i} \geqslant 1 /[\beta(q-1)]\left(\varepsilon_{i} \leqslant-1 /[|\beta|(q-1)]\right)$ if $\beta>0$ ( $\beta<0$ ), i.e., positive (negative) "temperatures." On the other hand, for $q<1$, the levels such that $\varepsilon_{i} \leqslant-1[\beta(1-q)]\left(\varepsilon_{i} \geqslant 1 /[|\beta|(1-q)]\right)$ are, if $\beta>0(\beta<0)$, highly occupied, in a way that is clearly reminiscent of the Bose-Einstein condensation.

To better realize the unusual properties of the present statistics, it is instructive to analyze the following situation. Assume $q>1, \beta>0$, and $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}$ such that $0<\varepsilon_{1}<\varepsilon_{2}<\cdots<\varepsilon_{W}$ ( $W$ might even diverge). When $1 / \beta$ is above $(q-1) \varepsilon_{W}$, all levels have a finite occupancy probability; when $(q-1) \varepsilon_{W-1}<1 / \beta<(q-1) \varepsilon_{W}$, then $p_{1}>p_{2}>\cdots>p_{W-1}>p_{W}=0$. The


Fig. 3. The distribution law of Eq. (11) as a function of $\beta \varepsilon_{i}$. The curves are parametrized by $q: q=1$, standard exponential law; $q>1$, the distribution pressents a cutoff at $\beta \varepsilon_{i}=1 /(q-1)$ (with a slope of $0,-1$, and $-\infty$ for $q<2, q=2$, and $q>2$, respectively) and diverges for $\beta \varepsilon_{i} \rightarrow-\infty ; q<1$, the distribution diverges at $\beta \varepsilon_{i}=-1 /(1-q)$ (the dashed line indicates the asymptote for $q \rightarrow 0$ ) and vanishes for $\beta \varepsilon_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$.
probabilities successively vanish while $1 / \beta$ decreases. One eventually arrives at $(q-1) \varepsilon_{1}<1 / \beta<(q-1) \varepsilon_{2}$, which implies $p_{1}=1$. Finally, the temperatures $1 / \beta$ in the interval $\left[0,(q-1) \varepsilon_{1}\right]$ are physically unaccessible, thus generalizing the nonaccessibility of $1 / \beta=0$ in standard thermodynamics. A simple example will illustrate this and similar facts.

Application. Consider two nondegenerate levels with values $\varepsilon_{1} \equiv$ $\varepsilon-\delta$ and $\varepsilon_{2} \equiv \varepsilon+\delta(\delta>0 ; \varepsilon$ § 0$)$. The quantity $U_{q}(\beta)$ is given by $U_{q}=$ $\varepsilon_{1} p_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} p_{2}$. A straightforward calculation yields
$y_{q}=-\frac{[1-(q-1)(\varepsilon / \delta-1) / x]^{1 /(q-1)}-[1-(q-1)(\varepsilon / \delta+1) / x]^{1 /(q-1)}}{[1-(q-1)(\varepsilon / \delta-1) / x]^{1 /(q-1)}+[1-(q-1)(\varepsilon / \delta+1) / x]^{1 /(q-1)}}$
with $x \equiv 1 / \beta \delta$ and $y_{q}=\left(U_{q}-\varepsilon\right) / \delta \in[-1,1]$. Equation (18) is invariant under $\quad\left(x, y_{q}, q-1, \varepsilon / \delta\right) \rightarrow\left(x, y_{q},-(q-1),-\varepsilon / \delta\right)$ and also under $\left(x, y_{q}, q, \varepsilon / \delta\right) \rightarrow\left(-x,-y_{q}, q,-\varepsilon / \delta\right)$. Consequently, it suffices to discuss $q \geqslant 1$ and $\varepsilon / \delta \geqslant 0$. In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$, one obtains $y_{1}=-\operatorname{th}(1 / x), \forall \varepsilon / \delta$. For $q \neq 1, y_{q}(x)$ depends on $\varepsilon / \delta$ : see Figs. 4 and 5.


Fig. 4. The $q=2$ reduced internal "energy" as a function of the reduced "temperature" (see text) for a nondegenerate two-level system and typical values of $\varepsilon / \delta$. The dashed region in (d) indicates the unaccessible "temperatures."

I conclude by recalling that, using the quantity normally scaled for multifractals, I have postulated an expression for the entropy that generalizes the usual one (recovered for the parameter $q \rightarrow 1$ ). By preserving the standard variational principle, I have established the microcanonical and canonical distributions, as well as several other properties. Some of the emerging peculiar characteristics are illustrated through a simple example. One of the most interesting is the fact that the unaccessible "temperatures" might belong to a finite interval that shrinks on the $T=0$ point in the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit. Finally, the fact that $S_{q} / k, \beta \varepsilon_{i}$, and $\beta U_{q}$ are additive under one and the same functional form \{namely $f(x) \equiv$ $\ln [1+(1-q) x] /(q-1)\}$ opens the door to the generalization of standard thermodynamics through the introduction of appropriate generalized thermodynamic potentials. Applications of these generalized equilibrium


Fig. 5. Reduced internal "energy" as a function of the reduced "temperature" (see text) for a nondegenerate two-level system and typical values of $q$ (numbers on curves).
statistics in physics (e.g., fractals, multifractals), information theory, or any other branch of knowledge using probabilistic concepts would be extremely welcome.
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